



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

## Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration

WARD: 5

1. Case Numbers: P12-0184 (General Plan Amendment)

**P12-0185** (Rezoning) **P12-0187** (Design Review)

2. **Project Title:** Azar Plaza

3. **Hearing Date:** September 6, 2012

4. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. **Contact Person:** Kyle Smith, Associate Planner

**Phone Number:** (951) 826-5168

Email: kjsmith@riversideca.gov

6. **Project Location:** 9241 & 9265 Audrey Avenue, situated on the northeasterly corner of Van Buren

Boulevard and Audrey Avenue

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Applicant / Architect Property Owner

Broske Architects and Associates Azar Trust Nov. 28, 2006

James Broske PO Box 1245 4344 Latham Street, #100 Colton, CA 92324

Riverside, CA 92501

8. **General Plan Designation:** C – Commercial and MDR – Medium Density Residential

9. **Zoning:** R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential

#### 10. **Description of Project:**

The applicant is requesting consideration of an approximately 6,150 square foot multiple tenant retail building on the subject 0.7 acre, two-parcel project site. The project site currently contains a vacated former child care facility on the corner parcel at 9625 Audrey Avenue. All buildings on the parcel have been demolished but concrete pads, walls & fences and other infrastructure remain. The second parcel, at 9241 Audrey Avenue, contains a single family residence. The proposal involves construction of a three tenant building situated generally on the corner of Van Buren Boulevard and Audrey Avenue, with access to a 35 space parking lot from both streets. Proposed architecture can generally be described as contemporary with a focal tower element proposed at the corner and decorative elements such as metal canopies faux brick clad columns and roof cornices proposed throughout the building.



In addition to Design Review of the plot plan and elevations, the applicant proposes a General Plan Amendment to change the General Plan land use designation of the approximately 0.12 acre parcel at 9241 Audrey Avenue from MDR – Medium Density Residential and C – Commercial. A change of zone for the entire site from the existing R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential Zone to CR – Commercial Retail is also proposed.

#### 11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	<b>Existing Land Use</b>	<b>General Plan Designation</b>	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Vacated former child care facility and single family residential	C – Commercial and MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
North	Single family residential and vacant land	C - Commercial	R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
East	Single family residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
South (across Audrey Ave.)	Commercial (marketing business) and single family residential	C – Commercial and MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
West (across Van Buren Blvd.)	Commercial (Wal-Mart)	C - Commercial	CR-S-2-X – Commercial Retail and Height of Building (Two Stories) and Setback Overlay Zones

## 12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

a. None

#### 13. Documents used and/or referenced in this review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Water Quality Management Plan prepared by IW Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated June 1, 2012

#### 14. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study
AQMP -	Air Quality Management Plan
AUSD -	Alvord Unified School District
CDG -	Citywide Design Guidelines
CEQA -	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP -	Congestion Management Plan
EMWD -	Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP -	Emergency Operations Plan
FEMA -	Federal Emergency Management Agency



GIS - Geographic Information System

GP 2025 - General Plan 2025

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code
RPD - Riverside Police Department
RPU - Riverside Public Utilities
RPW - Riverside Public Works
RTP - Regional Transportation Plan
RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan



#### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked be impact that is a "Potentially Significant	ž ,		ast one		
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	Air Quality			
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils			
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality			
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise			
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation			
Transportation/Traffic  Utilities/Service Systems  Mandatory Findings of Significance					
<b>DETERMINATION:</b> (To be complete	ted by the Lead Agency)				
On the basis of this initial evaluatio recommended that:	n which reflects the independent jud	gment of the City of Riversid	e, it is		
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will		ant effect on the environment,			
The City of Riverside finds that although there will not be a significant effect in the by the project proponent. A MITIGATED	is case because revisions in the project ha	ve been made by or agreed to			
The City of Riverside finds that the property ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT		ct on the environment, and an			
The City of Riverside finds that the proposignificant With Mitigation Incorporate adequately analyzed in an earlier docume mitigation measures based on the earlier IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must	d" impact on the environment, but at ant pursuant to applicable legal standards, r analysis as described on attached shee	least one effect 1) has been and 2) has been addressed by ts. An ENVIRONMENTAL			
The City of Riverside finds that although because all potentially significant effects DECLARATION pursuant to applicable s EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, i proposed project, nothing further is require	(a) have been analyzed adequately in a tandards, and (b) have been avoided or mi neluding revisions or mitigation measure	n earlier EIR or NEGATIVE tigated pursuant to that earlier			
Signature		Date			
Printed Name & Title Steve Hayes,	AICP, City Planner	For <u>City of Riverside</u>			





# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

### Environmental Initial Study

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. **Earlier Analysis Used.** Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside



document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways)	ys, Table 5.1	A – Scenic ar	id Special Bo	ulevards, and
The proposed project consists of an infill project within an urbanized The project site is located adjacent to an existing single family reside a scenic/special boulevard and a major commercial corridor in the C design policies contained in the Citywide Design and Sign Guidelin development of the surrounding area. Therefore, it will not degrade thave a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista directly, indirectly,	ntial neighbor City. The plan es, and are co he existing vis	hood, and Van s have been d onsistent and c sual character	Buren Boule esigned to conompatible wit	vard, which is mply with the h the existing
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Pol The General Plan 2025 designates several roadways as Scenic Boule and enhance the visual character of Riverside. The proposed prodesignated as a Scenic/Special Boulevard/Parkway within the Circul Plan 2025. The plans have been designed to comply with the desig Guidelines, and are consistent and compatible with the existing dewill be conditioned to maintain a minimum 15 foot landscape setbac and landscaping with focal points of visual interest (such as tower ecomplementary plantings) orientated towards the special/scenic boule cumulative impacts from this project will be less than significant.  c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	icy Manual) vards and Parl ject is located ation and Con n policies con elopment of the k along Van I lements, steel vard. Therefor	kways in order d along Van de munity Mobintained in the surrounding Buren Bouleva canopies, decre, any potenti	to protect see Buren Boulev lity Element of Citywide Designarea. Further and provide orative building all adverse dire	enic resources ard, which is of the General sign and Sign er, the project e architecture ag details and ect, indirect or
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 20 Guidelines, and La Sierra University Specific Plan)  The proposed project consists of a General Plan Amendment and Rethe proposed retail building. Further, the project consist of Design ReProject is consistent with the Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines. residential neighborhood and a special/scenic boulevard, it has been Therefore, it will not degrade the existing visual character of the are the visual character or quality of the Planning Area will occur.  d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?  1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2026, Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Ouniversity Specific Plan)	ezoning to arr view of plot p While the pro designed to ea and no imp	ange the propolans and build ject is located be compatible act directly, in	er land use de ing elevations both adjacent with the surradirectly or cu	signations for to ensure the to an existing ounding area. Implication with the control of the co
The project would not result in a new source of substantial light o views as this project consists of a multiple tenant retail building and provide a minimum intensity of one foot candle and a maximum interest areas serving the public and areas used for parking, with a ratio of an areas used for parking.	parking lot wasity of ten for	here any new oot candles at	lighting will ground level the	be required to hroughout the

light sources will be required to be shielded to minimize off-site glare and must not direct light skyward and must be directed away from adjacent properties and public rights-of-way. If lights are proposed to be mounted on the convenience store building, down lights must be utilized. Light poles must not exceed twenty (20) feet in height. Moreover, the site is not within the Mount Palomar Lighting Area. As such, this project will have a less than significant impact directly,

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
indirectly, or cumulatively which would adversely affect day or night	time views	Incorporated		
maneetry, or earnitativery winer would adversery affect day of high	time views.			
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effect, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – Ag The project is located within an urbanized area. A review of Figure O reveals that the project site is not designated as, and is not adjace Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, a: Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agencindirectly or cumulatively to agricultural uses.  b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a	OS-2 — Agricunt to or in press shown on th	ltural Suitabili coximity to an e maps prepar	y land classif ed pursuant to	ied as, Prime the Farmland
Williamson Act contract?				
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - W Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Use The site is within a built environment and no Williamson Act contra will not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural uses or any appl will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	es, and Title 1 acts are imple	(9) emented on the	e site. The pro	posed project
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (s defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
<b>2c. Response:</b> (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)  The City of Riverside has no land zoned for forest land, timberland Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			as Timberlan	d Production.



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
2d. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)				
The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent r Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			have any timbe	erland.
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricul Preserves, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Resident Forest Data)  The project is proposed to be located in an urbanized area of the Control of the C	City on a site alding. The site project will a prairal resources at land that c	currently surrete is identified not result in the or operations, an support 10	Ounded by co as urban/buil ae conversion including farm percent native	mmercial and t out land and of designated mlands within we tree cover.
agriculturu use of to the 1988 of forest min.				
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
<b>a.</b> Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager (AQMP))  Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and perfect that are consistent with the projections of employment and perfect that are consistent with the projections of Governments (SCAG) are considered consistent with numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel do Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's AQMP, Region the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent with the project by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) the Growth Scenario." The proposed project involves a General Plan acres of the project site from MDR- Medium Density Residential increase in emissions as a result of the change in land use designation limited to 0.21 acres and will be negligible. Further, to ensure shor 2025 Program required mitigation measures that have been applied project will not violate any ambient air quality standard, contribution, and will be subject to further mitigation the impacts of significant impacts with mitigation to ambient air quality and to contribution.  MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for contributions.	opulation fore the the AQMP emand and air onal Transpor- tions of emplo- lat are consist Amendment to C-Common; however that term emission to this project te substantiall irectly, indirect ibuting to an emission of the construction	casts identified growth projection Improve open and poent with the Coochange the ercial. This are increase in rooms are further, MM AIR 1 by to an existing air quant, construction	I by the Southections, since the carning activities anning activities are the carning activities and the carning activities are the carning action will result at the carning or projected ulatively will ality violation.	ern California these forecast es such as the n (RTIP), and asts identified 2025 "Typical gnation of .21 alt in a slight ial land use is General Plan e, because the ed air quality be less than

measures shall be required:

MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects the following

`	AND SUPPORTING (ATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
21 (1 0 11)			Mitigation Incorporated		
1.	The generation of dust shall be controlled as require	•	/ID;		
2.	Grading activities shall cease during periods of high	winds (greate	er than 25 mph	1);	
3.	Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materia protective cover as determined by the City Enginee		their loads co	overed with a	tarp or other
4.	The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer commence without an approved traffic control plan	ne preparation ffic Manual as ineer, at the	of the plan s	hall be in acc tandard Speci	cordance with fications. The
	any air quality standard or contribute substantially xisting or projected air quality violation?				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	Mitigation Incorporated	•	

3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2003 AQMP, EMFAC 2007 Model)

An Air Quality Model was conducted using CalEEMod. The results of the air quality model showed that the proposed project would generate emissions far lower than the SCAQMD thresholds for significance for air quality emissions and it was determined to be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively to ambient air quality and will not contribute to an existing air quality violation.

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS SHORT-TERM IMPACTS						
A -4::4			Daily Em	issions (lbs/d	ay)	
Activity	ROG	NO <sub>X</sub>	со	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	0.39	1.14	0.70	0	0.08	0.08
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS  LONG-TERM IMPACTS						
Activity			Daily Em	issions (lbs/d	ay)	
Activity	ROG	$NO_X$	СО	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Operational	0.26	0.39	1.64	0.00	0.23	0.02
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

To ensure short term emissions are further reduced the General Plan 2025 Program required mitigation measures that have been applied to this project, MM AIR 1-2. Therefore, because the project will not violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, and will be subject to further mitigation the impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively will be less than significant impacts with mitigation to ambient air quality and to contributing to an existing air quality violation.

MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.

MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects the following measures shall be required:

1. The generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD;

ICCLIEC (AND CLIDDODTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Significant	Significant	Significant	Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	
		Incorporated		
2. Grading activities shall cease during periods of high				
<ol> <li>Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materia protective cover as determined by the City Engineer</li> </ol>	r; and			•
4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic				
licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. Th Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traf				
plan shall be submitted for approval, by the enging commence without an approved traffic control plan.	ineer, at the 1			
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any			$\boxtimes$	
criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-	_	_	_	_
attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which				
exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tab	le 5.3-B SC	CAOMD CEO	A Regional	Significance
Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District'				
Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future constructi				
to result in significant levels of $NO_X$ and $ROG$ , both ozone precuemissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants rem				gh long-term
The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designate	ed as a non-at	tainment area	for ozone PM	1-10 and PM-
2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone,				
standards.				
	.1 1 1	1	21 64	
The proposed project involves a General Plan Amendment to change from MDR- Medium Density Residential to C-Commercial. This a				
result of the change in land use designation, a result of the change				
retail/commercial land use is limited to 0.21 acres and will be negligible			,	
Because the proposed project issubstantially consistent with the Ger				
pollutants as a result of the project were previously evaluated as provided the Control Plan 2005 Programs As a result, the grammad are				
under the General Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed prowere not previously evaluated and for which a statement of overrid				
Plan 2025 FPEIR. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impact			pica us puri o	i die General
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With	Significant Impact	Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES).	<b>F</b>	Mitigation Incorporated		
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tal	ble 5.3-B SC	_	) DA Regional	Significance
Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District				8 8
In conformance with the General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1 ar				
short-term construction and long-term operational related impacts of				
would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term construction a vacant pad within a larger retail/commercial development. The pro-				
facility and a single family residence. The proposal involves constructioner of Van Buren Boulevard and Audrey Avenue, with access to a	ction of a thre	e tenant buildi	ng situated ge	
The proposed project involves a General Plan Amendment to change from MDR- Medium Density Residential to C-Commercial. This action of the change in land use designation, however the increase will be retail/commercial. In addition, the project site is adjacent to and in preceptors that could be affected by short-term air quality impacts emissions are further reduced, and impacts to nearby sensitive receptured mitigation measures that have been applied to this project, of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations directly, with the following mitigation to ambient air quality and to contributing MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effermed.  1. The generation of dust shall be controlled as required.  2. Grading activities shall cease during periods of high 3. Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materia protective cover as determined by the City Enginee 4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The	on will result in egligible as the proximity to east from constructions are mindered and the end of	in a slight increasite is 0.21 existing single- uction activiting single- defendence of the single sing	ease in emission acres and proper family resider tes. To ensure the sease of the se	ons as a result posed uses are nees, sensitive re short term 2025 Program and to exposure an significant shall provide vide evidence the following tarp or other ed by either a
Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Tra	ffic Manual a	nd the State S	tandard Speci	fications. The
plan shall be submitted for approval, by the eng		preconstructio	n meeting. W	ork shall not
commence without an approved traffic control plan  e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number				
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
3e. Response: (Source: )		I	L	L
The project would not expose a substantial number of people to objectionable odors are anticipated to be generated by the propose odors will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
<ul> <li>Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or</li> </ul>				
regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife				
Service?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	Impact	
Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO	CP Cores and	_	gure OS-8 –	MSHCP Cell	
Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP					
Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic					
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCI	Burrowing (	Owl Survey Ar	ea)		
The project site is located on a previously developed/improved site					
database and other appropriate databases identified no potential for					
habitat for such species on site, Federal Species of Concern, California de Plant de la California de Plant Species of Concern, California de la California de					
Animal or Plants on lists 1-4 of the California Native Plant Society (					
impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on habitat modifications status species in local or regional plans, and policies or regulations of					
Fish and Wildlife Service.	or the Camon	na Departmen	of Fish and V	Jaine of U.S.	
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or				$\square$	
other sensitive natural community identified in local or	Ш		Ш		
regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California					
Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife					
Service?					
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell					
Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP					
Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic					
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC			rea, MSHCP	Section 6.1.2	
- Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine A					
No wetland or riparian vegetation exists on the project site as it is a					
retail center. Furthermore, the project site is located within an un					
Generally, the surrounding area has been developed for many years area, such that there is little chance that any riparian habitat could					
habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or reg					
Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service w					
directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	1	1	1 1 3		
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected				$\square$	
wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act	Ш				
(including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal,					
etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological					
interruption, or other means?					
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS					
The project is located within in an urbanized area where no federall	• •		•		
Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool				•	
project site. The project site does not contain any discernable drain					
hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional drainage have no impact to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section					
cumulatively.	ni 404 oi tiic	Cicaii watei	Act directly, i	nunccity and	
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native					
resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with	Ш		Ш		
established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors,					
or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 –Figure	OS-7 _ MSH(	CP Cores and	I inkage)		
The project is within in an urbanized area and will not result in a barr			_	or migratory	
fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migrator		•			
wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, the project will have no impact to w					
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting					
biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or			$\boxtimes$		



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
ordinance?		Into I por uto u		
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 - Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 - Establishing Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)				
Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable F to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In ad Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP mi Threatened and Endangered Species Fees.  Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that proposes	dition, the pro tigation fee	oject is require and Section	d to comply w 16.40.040 esta	vith Riverside ablishing the
follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual document removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications in the established by the International Society of Arboriculture, the Natio Standards Institute. Any future project will be in compliance with the right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be less than significant.	s guidelines for Manual are nal Arborists	or the planting based on nation Association, a	, pruning, presonal standards and the Amer	servation, and for tree care ican National
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
The project site is located on a previously developed/improved site v Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. Therefore, t adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conserva habitat conservation plan.	n, or other ap he project wi	pproved local, ll have no imp	regional, or pact on the pro	State habitat ovisions of an
		T		
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historand Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)	rical Districts	and Neighbo	rhood Conser	vation Areas
This project will be located on a site where no historic resources exist because this project is proposed on a site which contains a vacated an family residence. Therefore, no impacts directly, indirectly and cumu	d demolished	former child c	are facility and	d a single
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				
5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc	_	ensitivity and	<i>Figure 5.5-2</i>	- Prehistoric
Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Resources. This project site is within an urbanized area, currently contains a residence, and has been previously graded. Figure 5.5-1 identifies the resources. In the judgement of the Planning Division, it is unlike project site as the property has been previously disturbed in conjunct improvements in the area. However, if burried materials are found should be halted in that area until a qualified archeologist can evaluate the content of the property	vacated former e project site a ly that archeo tion with exist during const	s having low a blogical resour sting surrounding rution and/or	sensitivity for ces would be ing developme grading activi	archeological found in the ent and utility ties, all work
implementation of appropriate mitigation measures (MM Cultura archeological resources directly, indirectly and cumulatively as a significant level.	l 1 through	4) of the GP	2025 FPEIR be reduced to	R, impacts to
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>5c. Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3)  This project site is within an urbanized area, currently contains a residence, and has been previously graded. In the judgement of the resources would be found in the project site as the property has be surrounding development and utility improvements in the area. He activities, all work should be halted in that area until a qualified archefinds. Through implementation of appropriate mitigation measure resources directly, indirectly and cumulatively as a result of the project.	he Planning I been previouslowever, if but been been been been been been been been	er child care for the care for	unlikely that a conjunction are found duture and signi impacts to pa	archeological with existing aring grading ficance of the aleontological
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			$\boxtimes$	
Cultural Resources Sensitivity)  This project site is within an urbanized area, currently contains a vacated former child care facility and a single family residence, and has been previously graded. Pursuant to Senate Bill 18 (Burton), the appropriate Native American tribes as identified by the State Native American Heritage Commission were notified of the proposed General Plan amendment component of this project. No requests for consultation were received by Planning Staff after the required 90 days window. It is not anticipated that archeological or prehistoric resources would be found within the project site however; as a condition of approval, if buried materials are found during grading and/or construction activities, all work shall be halted in that area until a qualified archeologist can evaluate the nature and significance of the finds.				
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.				
Would the project:				
a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
<ol> <li>Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</li> </ol>				
6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)	– Regional Fo	ult Zones &	General Plan	2025 FPEIR
Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the Ciproject site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that no directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	for fault ruptui	e or seismic s	haking is low.	Compliance
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				$\boxtimes$
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appended The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of the portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potential to cause ground shaking. Because the proposed is required to comply wassociated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact ditii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	City, or the El moderate to la th the Califo	sinore Fault Z arge earthquak rnia Building	one, located in tes that would Code regulat actively.	n the southern cause intense
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report)	s with High Si	hrink-Swell P	otential, and	Appendix E –
The project site is located in an area with the potential for liquefaregulations will ensure that impacts related to seismic-related ground				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
significant impact levels directly, indirectly and cumulatively				
iv. Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure  - Geotechnical Report, Title 18 - Subdivision Code,  Prevention Plan SWPPP)  The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography	Title $17 - Graduate$	ading Code, a	and Storm Wa	andslides per
Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Therefore indirectly and cumulatively.	re, there will t	be no impact re	elated to lands	lides directly,
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			$\boxtimes$	
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5. Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Code Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. Sta implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SW construction activities. The project must also comply with the Nation	t, <b>Title 17 – G</b> te and Federal (PPP) establis onal Pollutant	rading Code) I requirements Shing erosion Discharge Eli	call for the pr and sediment mination Syst	eparation and controls for em (NPDES)
regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for which Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measure State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	es designed to	minimize soil	erosion. Cor	npliance with
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Reg General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B The general topography of the subject site is relatively flat. Comp contained in the General Plan 2025 help to ensure that impacts re significant impact levels directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	High Shrink - Soil Types, liance with the	-Swell Potent and Appendine City's exist	ial, Figure 5 x E – Geotech ting codes and	.6-1 - Areas nical Report) d the policies
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
<ul> <li>6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5         Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potenti         Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set o         The project is located on a site does not have expansive soils and the cumulatively.         <ul> <li>e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	al, Appendix ut in Title 16	E – Geotechn of the Riversid	ical Report, ai le Municipal (	nd California Code)
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
<b>6e. Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6) The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. Therefore				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
<b>7a. Response:</b> (Source:) The project will comply with the City's General Plan policies and state GhG emissions. Since the project will not result in a net increase is goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by the ye in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive projections of employment and population forecasts identified by growth projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCA quality for planning activities such as the RTP, the SCAQMD's AQM is consistent with the projections of employment and population for the General Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Therefore, this respect to GhG emissions.	in GhG emiss ar 2020 as stave Order S-3-the SCAG ar G's modeling MP, RTIP, and recasts identifit	ions, it will noted in AB 32 a 05. Projects to considered section to for the Regional and by the SC.	ot interfere with and an 80 percent that are consistent with ecast travel de Housing Plan. AG that are consistent are consistent with the consiste	th the State's sent reduction stent with the h the AQMP mand and air This project possistent with
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies to re Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Greenhou above, the project would comply with the City's General Plan pol reduce GHG emissions. In addition, the project would comply with construction and will not interfere with the State's goals of reducir stated in the AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GHG emissions be S-3-05. Based upon the CalEEMod analysis for this project and the applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the enwill occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.	se Gas (GHG licies and Stan all SCAQM ag GHG emis- pelow 1990 lendiscussion abor-	threshold. A te Building C to applicable r sions to 1990 wels by 2050 a tove, the project	As indicated in ode provision rules and regulated by the as stated in Exert will not con-	a Question A, s designed to lations during year 2020 as ecutive Order flict with any
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Califor 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional While the exact proposed uses are not known, the proposed commer which may involve the emission, transport, use, or disposal of any Transportation (USDOT) Office of Hazardous Materials Safety pre hazardous materials, as described in Title 49 of the Code of Federal R However, through the compliance with all applicable Federal and City's Fire Department related to the transportation, storage and disp of accidents would be reduced. Therefore, there would be less than to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or	rnia Building ILHMP, 200 cial zoning co hazardous mascribes strict degulations, are State laws, an osal of hazard significant im	Code, Rivers: 4 Part 1, OEM and permit use terials. The Uregulations for ad implemented the submittalous materials, spact directly,	ide Fire Departs Strategic Fees (such as mediated States If the safe trans d by Title 13 of a business the likelihood indirectly and	extrement EOP, Plan)  edical offices) Department of asportation of the CCR.  as plan to the d and severity
<ul> <li>b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into</li> </ul>				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
the environment?				
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Fede Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area Strategic Plan)	eral Regulatio – Multi-Juris	ons, Californi sdictional LH	a Building ( MP, 2004 Pa	Code, City of art 1, OEM's
The proposed operations may involve the use of hazardous materials ocal laws and regulations pertaining to the transport, use, disposal, lateral laws and transport to Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations implementations for the safe transportation of hazardous materials. (See regulations for the safe transportation of hazardous materials.)	nandling, and nented by Titl	storage of haz le 13 of the C	ardous waste, CR, which de	including but
Compliance with all applicable Federal, State and local laws relate naterials would reduce the likelihood and severity of accidents dumpact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of Code)  The project site is within 0.04 miles from the Rehoboth Charter Acad proposed commercial zoning could permit uses (such as medical official azardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of consiste transportation of hazardous materials are required to complianditional regulations as required in the California Health and State regular mazardous materials caused by this project will be a less than signification.	Schools, Fig the Code of I demy. While the ces) which makes an existing so y with the pro- tafety Code A tions impacts a ant impact direct	gure 5.13-4 Federal Regula the exact proporty involve the ochool. All bus ovisions of the Article 1 Chapassociated with	- Other Sciations, California sed uses are nemission or had inesses that he City's Fire Other 6.95 for a the exposure	hool District rnia Building ot known, the undling of any andle or have Code and any the Business of schools to ively.
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Ha CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulate EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)  A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Goves not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would happublic or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	rnment Code S	in TRI Inform Section 65962.	nation and 5. 5 found that the	7-C - DTSC he project site
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
<b>8e.</b> Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Che proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Company of the Proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Company of the Proposed project is located within Safety and Proposed project is located within Safe		-		

General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by Planning staff to ensure that the proposed use is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. For Zone D, the RCALUCP shows that the proposed commercial/retail use of the site would be compatible with the requirement of a deed notice on the proporty of circort in vicinity. Recently the proposed was been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP.

RCALUCP shows that the proposed commercial/retail use of the site would be compatible with the requirement of a deed notice on the property of airport in vicinity. Because the proposed use has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively. The proposed

	ES (AND SUPPORTING PRMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
MDR- Me	so involves a General Plan Amendment to change the land edium Density Residential to C-Commercial. This legislativ Commission (ALUC) or ALUC Staff prior to final approva	e action will i			
f. I	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would he project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				$\boxtimes$
8f. F	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airp	ort Safety Zo	nes and Influ	ence Areas, R	(CALUCP))
Because to project wi	he proposed project is not located within proximity of a pri ill not expose people residing or working in the City to excempact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	vate airstrip, a	and does not pr	ropose a privat	te airstrip, the
а	impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			$\boxtimes$	
E	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haza EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdi Plan)				
local street project's devacuation	ct will be served by existing fully improved streets (Audrey ets. All streets have been designed to meet the Public Work construction, no street closure will be necessary, so as not to n plan. Therefore, the project will have a less than significately response or evacuation plan.	s and Fire Dep interfere or i	partments' spe mpede with an	cifications. As y emergency r	s part of the response or
i	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, njury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
The proportion Fire	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, osed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildland Severity Zones (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or actly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occur.	2004 Part 1/Pands exist and	Part 2 and OE the property i	M's Strategic Is not located	<i>Plan)</i> within a Very
	DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.  Id the project:				
r	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge equirements?				
H	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A – Bo Hydrology Study and/or Water Quality Management Plan Tune 1, 2012)				
close to 10 parking lo preliminar the NPDE runoff wil facilities of	ct site is currently contains a vacated former child care fa 00 percent of impervious surface, with the exception of lar of for this project, the permeable area of the project site way WQMP has been submitted and approved by the Public SS permit managed by the RWQCB, the project is not regall be generated from the project. Urban runoff is currently developed throughout the City to regional drainage facility of the total water contaminants, the project is required to complete.	dscaped areasill increase slaworks Departured to institute y and will coties, and then	s. Upon construction of the state of this tute new water on tinue to be constructed and the state of the stat	ruction of the ditional landso project. Further quality BMF conveyed by lee the receiving	buildings and caped area. A ermore, under Ps, as no new ocal drainage g waters. To
	e construction phase, a final approved WQMP will be required in the construction of th				

		•			
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
will be required to be implemented to effectively control erosion and during construction. Given compliance with all applicable local, state the fact that the project will not result in a net increase of surfact anticipated to result in a less than significant impact directly, indirectly waste discharge.	e, and federal ce water run	n and other con laws regulating	g surface watersed project a	er quality and s designed is	
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan)					
The proposed project is located within the Arlington Water Supply sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirer substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumu	ments that wi with groundw vater table lev	Il ensure the vater recharge	proposed pro	oject will not re would be a	
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?					
<b>9c.</b> Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan and Project The project is subject to NPDES requirements. Erosion, siltation a implementation of projects are addressed as part of the Water Qu process. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant idrainage patterns.	nd other poss ality Manage	sible pollutants ment Plan (W	s associated w VQMP) and g	rith long-term rading permit	
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?					
9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Project While the project site is within the Alessandro, Woodcrest and Prendrisk of annual substantial flooding. Underground storm drains and so flow from curb to curb, while 100-year storms are accommodated with a developed condition has been studied and is required to be attental altered the off-site discharge is the same as the undeveloped condition directly, indirectly or cumulatively in the rate or amount of surface rule. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of	da Dam induc streets are des thin street righ- uated on-site, on. Therefore	tion areas, it is igned to account-of-ways. The so although to, there will be	s not within an mmodate the ne runoff from the drainage p less than sign	n area of high 10-year storm the project in attern will be ificant impact	
polluted runoff?  9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan and Project			_		
Within the scope of the project, the installation of storm water drain already exist. Expected pollutants will be treated through the incorp					

control measures specified in the project specific WQMP. Therefore, as the expected pollutants will be mitigated through the project site design, source control, and treatment controls already integrated into the project design, the project will not

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
substantial additional sources of polluted runoff and there will be cumulatively.	e a less than	Incorporated significant im	pact directly,	indirectly or
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			$\boxtimes$	
9f. Response: (Source: Project Specific –Water Quality Managery During and after construction, best management practices (BMPs) quality impacts resulting from development. Furthermore, the City h water quality impacts, pursuant to its Municipal Separate Storm System proposed development will increase the amount of impervious surface parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops; all source the potential to degrade water quality. This development has been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BMPs will be require requirement is to insure treatment BMPs are installed/constructed as the project will be treated in perpetuity. Therefore, impacts related directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	will be impler as ensured that stem (MS4) pe area in the Ces of runoff the required to ped prior to grass part of the p	at the development through City. This implies may carry prepare prelimiting permit is project so that	the project's ervious area in pollutants and nary BMPs the suance. The pthe pollutants	cause adverse WQMP. The ncludes paved therefore has nat have been ourpose of this generated by
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
<ul> <li>9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood 06065C0715G – Zone X)</li> <li>A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 0605.8-2 Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, minimal flooding is anticipated to occur and the project does not impact caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>	065C0715 Eff shows that th	ective Date A e project is no	ugust 28, 200 ot located in a	8) and Figure an area where
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flor 06065C0715G – Zone X)	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	A Flood Haze	ırd Maps
While the project site is within the Alessandro, Woodcrest and Prendarea with a high risk of annual substantial flooding, according to Majand Figure 5.8-2 Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program within an area with 1% annual risk of substantial flooding that wo occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	p Number 060 FPEIR There	065C0715 Effe efore, the proje	ctive Date Au ect will not pla	igust 28, 2008 ace a structure
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flor 06065C0715G – Zone X)	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	A Flood Haze	ırd Maps
While the project site is within the Alessandro, Woodcrest and Prendarea with a high risk of annual substantial flooding, according to Majand Figure 5.8-2 Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program are expected to reach the site in 96 minutes. The City Municipal Co – Development Standards, Section 18.210.100 – Flood Prone Lands Chapter 16.18 Flood Hazard Area & Implementation of National construction located within a flood zone to mitigate flood hazard prevent floating structures, elevating buildings above flood levels, inspected and certified by a professional engineer, surveyor or buil Code Section 1103 through 1103.4 requiring notification to those po a flood hazard or dam inundation area. Therefore, the potential to plor structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death as a resu directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	p Number 060 in FPEIR In the de, Title 18 – s and Drainag Flood Insurar is by including and flood pro- ding inspector tentially affec- ace a structure	of 5C0715 Effee e event of a da Subdiviion Coe and Title 16 nee Program, g onsite drain pofing, which r. Including cotted of the risk e within an are	ctive Date Au am failure, first ode, Section C Buildings & Sec.16.8.050 age, anchoring requires the be- compliance with involved in location that would ex-	gust 28, 2008 st flow waters hapter 18.210 Construction, requires new g methods to building to be th State Civil ocating within expose people



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	Impact
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				$\boxtimes$
<b>9j. Response:</b> (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydra Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. Additional a generally flat topography and are within an urbanized area where project site is not within proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, transverse the City and its sphere of influence and thus would a Accordingly, no impact potential for seiche or mudflow exists directly	the City is no ally, the propo mudflows ar the Santa Ar not be subject	t located in a c sed project sit e not expecte na River, or a to inundatio	e and its surro d to occur. Me ny of the 9 a n resulting fr	oundings have Moreover, the rroyos which
10.LAND USE AND PLANNING:				
Would the project:				
a. Physically divide an established community?				
Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  The project is an infill project consistent with the General Plan 2025 the approximately 0.21 acre parcel at 9241 Audrey Avenue to ch Medium Density Residential and C – Commercial. Additionally, the entire approximately 0.7 acre project site from the R-1-7000 – Singl Zone. The proposed project is consistent and similar in architectural is not located within other plan areas and it is not a project of Statew the proposal was analyzed for consistency with the Zoning Code, what standards to ensure that retail/commercial uses would not create sign existing and future uses. Application of these standards would ensure on adjacent uses. Based on the above-referenced information, the protectail building would not result in significant adverse environmental from this project.  b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025)	ange the Gen proposal invo e Family Resi style as the su ide, Regional nich contains s ificant land us re that the pro oposed to con- impacts. Thu	deral Plan use olves a request dential Zone of the arrounding devor Area-wide site location or the compatibility ject would no struct an appropriate struct an appropriate struct and appropriate the arrow of the compatibility ject would not struct an appropriate the arrow of the	designation of the to change the to CR – Common telopment. The Significance deterior and site to the total problems for the three three three telopical telo	from MDR – e zone of the mercial Retail ne project site Furthermore, development r surrounding mental impact 50 square foot cts will result
<ul> <li>Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 Plan, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and The project is an infill project consistent with the General Plan 2025 the approximately 0.21 acre parcel at 9241 Audrey Avenue to ch Medium Density Residential to C – Commercial. Additionally, the entire approximately 0.7 acre project site from the R-1-7000 – Sing Retail Zone. The project site is not located within other plan areas and Significance. Furthermore, the proposal was analyzed for consisten criteria and site development standards to ensure that the proposed ruse compatibility problems for surrounding existing and future uses. project would not have a detrimental impact on adjacent uses. The variances would also be considered. The Planning Division was ab and based on these findings and the conditions of approval the variance above-referenced information, the proposed use would not result in than significant impacts will result from this project.</li> <li>c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or</li> </ul>	e, Title 7 – No Sign Guideling  i. The project ange the Gen proposal invogle Family Red it is not a procy with the Z etail/commerce Application hrough review le to make the nees are consi	es contains a Go eral Plan use deves a request esidential Zono oject of Statew oning Code, vial uses would of these stand of the cond- encessary findered less that	eneral Plan Andesignation of to change the to the CR - ride, Regional which contains a lards would entitional use pendings to suppose a significant.	mendment for from MDR – e zone of the Commercial or Area-wide site location gnificant land naure that the rmit, specific port variances Based on the
natural community conservation plan?				



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>10c.Response:</b> (Source: MSHCP) See Response 4f above.				
11. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M. The formational material that underlies the project site is the RP contain recoverable mineral resources of economic value. The regionally would not occur because of the project and no further are impact on mineral resources directly, indirectly or cumulatively	– Rock Produ loss of know	ucts formation n mineral res	ources valuab	ole locally or
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M. The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the Cability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project is is no impact.	n the City or S General Plan 2	Sphere Area w 2025 would no	ot significantly	preclude the
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 A Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Road N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Us Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Tit Study/Acoustical Analysis)  Per Implementation Tool N-1 of the General Plan 2025 Noise Elem standards and compatibility issues have been addressed. The project of the Municipal Code, is compliant with the Noise/Land Use Noi Noise Element, is not within the 60 dB CNEL and (if residential industrial areas and therefore does not require an acoustical analyse exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of cumulatively.	way Noise, F e Noise Comp e 5.11-E - I le 7 - Nois ent, this project t meets the Ci se Compatibi project) is no is. Therefore	rigure N-6 – 20 patibility Crite Interior and Interior an	O25 Freeway In Prize Free Way In Project Spare Viewed to ensure Adards as set for Matrix (Figure Vicinity of concless than significant sig	Noise, Figure Table 5.11-1 – See Standards, pecific Noise ure that noise orth in Title 7 N-10) of the mmercial and ifficant on the
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 In Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Road N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, FPEIR Table 5.11-G – Vil	lway Noise, F	<i>igure N-6 – 2</i>	<b>025 Freeway</b> I	Noise, Figure



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report)  Construction related activities although short term, are the most commould affect occupants of neighboring uses. Title 7 limits construct weekdays, and 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays. No construction As construction activities are temporary and limited, the project will of generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise exposed to long-term vibration impacts during operation of the proposor pile driving is foreseeable in conjunction with development of this path the exposure of persons to or the generation of excessive groundborn standards either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.  c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in	tion related a noise is pern cause a less to be levels. This sed use or du project. Then	activities from nitted on Sund than significant s project is not uring construct refore, impacts	7:00 a.m. to ays or on Fed t exposure of expected to gion activities a are less than	7:00 p.m. on eral holidays. persons to or generate or be as no blasting significant on
the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Refigure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title Study/Acoustical Analysis prepared by MC Group, LLC on M. Refer to Response 12a above. As previously mentioned, the ambient in project site will rise during the temporary and intermittent construction project. Therefore, this project will not cause a substantial increase levels existing without the project and a less than significant impact is	way Noise, F e Noise Com 5.11-E - I le 7 - Noi March 14, 20 noise levels o in periods abo in ambient	igure N-6 – 20 patibility Crite interior and I se Code, and I 12) on the project so to the current	O25 Freeway I eria FPEIR T Exterior Nois d Project Sp ite and in the	Noise, Figure Table 5.11-1 – e Standards, pecific Noise vicinity of the g without the
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction Ed Conditions Report and Project Specific Noise Study/Acousti 14, 2012)				
The primary source of temporary or periodic noise associated with the maintenance work. Construction noise typically involves the loudest demolition, grading, construction, large diesel engines, truck deliver. Municipal Code limit construction activities to specific times and construction activity is subject to the noise standards provided in the Code and the provisions of the City's Noise Code, the temporary or periodic may result from the project are considered less than significant. The residential uses. Per Implementation Tool N-1 of the General Plan 20 ensure that noise standards and compatibility issues have been address than significant.	common urberies and had days of the dode. Consider dictionerease in project site 025 Noise El	pan noise ever aling. Both the week and du- ering the short in noise levels is surrounded lement, this pr	the General Plring those spetterm nature of due to construct by office, coroject has been	with building an 2025 and ecified times, f construction function which mmercial and in reviewed to
			$\square$	$\square$

12e. Response: (Source: Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria and Project Specific Noise Study/Acoustical Analysis prepared by MC Group, LLC on March 14, 2012)

The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Zone D as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by Planning staff to ensure that the proposed use is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. For Zone D, the

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
in Grantini Societies).		Mitigation Incorporated	_			
RCALUCP shows that the proposed commercial/retail use of the site would be compatible with the requirement of a deed notice on the property of airport in vicinity. Because the proposed use has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively. The proposed project also involves a General Plan Amendment to change the land use designation of .21 acres of the project site from MDR- Medium Density Residential to C-Commercial. This legislative action will require a procedural review by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) or ALUC Staff prior to final approval.						
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						
<b>12f. Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airp Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips within in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed project Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise leve directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	the City that consists of do does not prop	would expose evelopment an ose a private a	people working ticipated undeating the principal triangle.	er the General oject will not		
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:						
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?						
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – L Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Ge 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG C Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG	neral Plan Po omparisons,	opulation and Table 5.12-D	Employment	Projections-		
The project is in an urbanized area and does not propose new homes or businesses that would directly induce substantial population growth, and does not involve the addition of new roads or infrastructure that would indirectly induce substantial population growth. The project is proposed to be located in an urbanized area of the City and involves the construction of an approximately 6,150 square foot retail building. Therefore, this project will have no impact on population growth either directly or indirectly.						
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						
13b. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2008 Layer)  The project involves the redevelopment of an approximately 0.7 acre two-parcel site which contains a vacated former child care facility and a single family residence, and will displace one existing housing unit. However, the displacement impact will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program, which provides for adequate housing elsewhere throughout the City through such avenues as the establishment of three new mixed-use land use designations and one very high density land use designation throughout the City.						
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						
13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2008 Layer)  The project involves the redevelopment of an approximately 0.7 acre two-parcel site which contains a vacated former child care facility and a single family residence, and will displace one existing housing unit. However, the displacement impact will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program, which provides for adequate housing elsewhere throughout the City through such avenues as the establishment of three new mixed-use land use designations and one very high density land use designation throughout the City.						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.					
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a. Fire protection?					
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)	Locations, To	able 5.13 <b>-</b> C –	Riverside Fir	e Department	
The project is proposed to be located in an urbanized area of the City former child care facility and a single family residence. Adequate fir miles from the project site by Station 2, located at 9449 Andrew St. to General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and stand be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional fire cumulatively.	re facilities and serve this products, and thro	d services are oject. In addit ough Fire Depa	provided apprion, with implartment praction, ther directly,	oximately 2.1 ementation of ces, there will	
b. Police protection?					
The project is proposed to be located in an urbanized area of the City former child care facility and a single family residence. Adequate po Neighborhood Policing Center located at 10540-B Magnolia Avenue result in the intensification of land use and there will be less than s facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.  c. Schools?  14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-3 – AUSD Boun	lice facilities are to serve this ignificant imp	and services are project. The pacts on the de	re provided by trefore, this premand for add	the Magnolia roject will not litional police	
Generation for RUSD and AUSD By Education Level, and Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries)  The project is a non-residential use that will not involve the addition of any housing units that would increase numbers of school age children. Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional school facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
d. Parks?				$\boxtimes$	
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Part Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities of The project is a non-residential use that will not involve the additional Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional granulatively.	FPEIR Table Funded in the of any housing	e 5.14-A – Pa Riverside Ren g units that wo	rk and Recre naissance Init ould increase the	ation Facility iative) ne population.	
e. Other public facilities?				$\square$	
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – C Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3 Riverside Public Library Service Standards)  The project is proposed to be located in an urbanized area of the City former child care facility and a single family residence. Adequate community centers, are provided in the Neighborhood to serve this Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, a and Library practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for indirectly or cumulatively.	on an approxe public facility project. In a and through P	timately 0.7 acties and servinddition, with ark, Recreation	ere site contained ces, including implementation and Communication and Communication results in the control of	3-5 - Library ble 5.13-H -  ling a vacated libraries and on of General unity Services	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
15. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – P Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of The Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and T in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development I As the project is non-residential in nature, the project will not increase	rails and Bike Table 5.14-C – Inventory of Fees, Bicycle	eways, Parks - Park and Re Existing Com Master Plan N	Master Plan 2 ecreation Faci nmunity Cente May 2007)	2003, FPEIR lities Funded ers, Riverside
or other recreational facilities. Therefore, there will be no impact.  b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
15b. Response: (Source: )  The project will not include new recreational facilities or require the other therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.		r expansion of	recreational fa	acilities;
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation H SCAG's RTP)	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typi rsection Impro OS E or F in	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Recor 2025, Table 5	ble 5.15-D — cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K — Free	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis
The approximately 0.7 acre project site currently contains a vacated While a negligible increase in traffic volume will result as the procapacity is adequate to accommodate the projected traffic volumes substantial increase in intensity of use resulting in any measureable in project and therefore less than significant impacts directly, indirective circulation system will occur.	pposed project s, of the prop acrease in traff	t is commercial is commercial is commercial is considered in the constant of the commercial is commercial in the commercial is commercial in the commercial is commercial in the commercial in the commercial is commercial in the control in th	al/retail in nat It is not antic r as a result of	cure, roadway cipated that a the proposed
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis	(LOS) (Typic	cal 2025), Tal	ble 5.15-D -	Existing and

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No	
,	Significant	Significant With	Significant	Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	Mitigation Incorporated	Impact		
of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter	rsection Impr		mmendations,	<i>Table 5.15-J</i>	
- Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L	OS E or F in	2025, Table 5	5.15K – Free	way Analysis	
Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation I SCAG's RTP)					
The project site does not include a state highway or principal arteri Program (CMP) and the project is consistent with the Transportation Program; therefore, there is no impact either directly, indirectly or cu	n Demand Ma	anagement/Air			
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A	irnort Safety	Zones and In	l fluence Areas	RCALUCP	
March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh					
Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A		(			
The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Com General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by Plan with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the language RCALUCP shows that the proposed commercial/retail use of the sit	rt as noted in ning staff to and use stand	the Riverside ensure that the ards in the R	County Airp proposed use CALUP. For	ort Land Use is consistent Zone D, the	
notice on the property of airport in vicinity. Because the proposed us					
impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impa					
project also involves a General Plan Amendment to change the lan					
MDR- Medium Density Residential to C-Commercial. This legislative	e action will	require a proce	edural review l	by the Airport	
Land Use Commission (ALUC) or ALUC Staff prior to final approva	1.				
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?					
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and	l Signing Pla	<u> </u>			
The project is located on a vacated former child care facility and a s			e no site mod	ifications will	
occur that would result in hazards due to design features such as driv					
proposed use is compatible with other uses within the area. As such					
through design or incompatible uses either directly, indirectly or cum		.,			
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?	ΓÓ				
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code)	tation Highwo	iy Design Mai	nual, Municip	al Code, and	
The project is consistent with the Riverside Municipal Code as it p					
ensure adequate emergency access to new developments. The pro					
Departments to ensure the project site maintains adequate access.					
compliance with Title 18, Section 18.210.030 and the City's Fire Co		03 (California	Fire Code 200	(17); therefore,	
there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to emerge		T —			
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?					
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program – Walk Safe! – Drive Safe!)					
The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopte		_		-	
transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the project					
on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transpo		F 3112301)	,		



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:						
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?						
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Sewer Facilities Map, FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 – Sewer Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Figure 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)  This proposal involves the construction of an approximately 6,150 square foot retail building on an approximately 0.7 acres site. The proposed development, which is consistent with the General Plan 2025, will be subject to the requirements of the Public Works Department and will be required to comply with all existing State Water Quality Control Board and City stormwater regulations, including compliance with NPDES requirements. The proposed project is to be located within a developed commercial site with site improvements already in place, with the exception of two additional driveways proposed for the project. Therefore, there will be no direct, indirect, or cumulative impact to wastewater treatment						
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?						
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU PROJECTED DOMESTIC WATER Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, FPEIR Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR.)  The project will not result in the construction of new or expanded water or wastewater treatment facilities. The project is consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 2025 where future water and wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-I, 5.16-J and 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment						
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?						
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Face This proposal involves the construction of an approximately 6,150 s site. The project is located within a previously developed/improved of that would require or result in the construction of new storm water of they already exist. Therefore, the project will have no impact result facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?  17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service)	quare foot ret site where no drainage facilialting in the coumulatively.	increase in implication of the construction of	pervious surfation of existing new storm w	ces will occur g facilities, as vater drainage		
E - RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, T - General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WM WMWD, Table 5.16-J - General Plan Projected Water Demand RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Mast	Table 5.16-F – Ing Water Reli WD Table 5. Ing Water Reli WD Table 5. Ing Table 5. I	Projected Was ability for 20216-1 Current MWD Including grove Water I ail building or Scenario where and 5.16-J of the	ter Demand, 25, Table 5.16 and Projecte ing Water Rel District Masten an approxime future water as General Pla	Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table Vater Use Table Vater Use Table Vater Use Table Vater Vater Table Vater Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table 5.16-G Table 7.16-G Table 7.16-G Tab		



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
in Granting Sockets).	-	Mitigation Incorporated	-			
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment				$\boxtimes$		
provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?						
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)						
The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (F consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario whadequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). anticipates and provides for this type of project. Therefore, no i	ere future was Further, the cu	stewater gener urrent Wastew	ation was dete ater Treatmen	ermined to be t Master Plan		
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?						
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Land)	l fills and Table	   5.16-M – Est	imated Futur	e Solid Waste		
Generation from the Planning Area) The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Buil determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the Gelandfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?						
The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public Resource Code requires that local jurisdictions divert at least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The City is currently achieving a 60% diversion rate, well above State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building Code requires all developments to divert 50% of non-hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and 100% of excavated soil and land clearing debris for all non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed project must comply with the City's waste disposal requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as such would not conflict with any Federal, State, or local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to solid waste statues will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.						
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?						
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, and Habitat Assessment prepared by Natural Resources Assessment, Inc. on March 26, 2012, FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity, Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)						
Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were Initial Study. Additionally, potential impacts to cultural, archaeol						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	•		
periods of California and the City of Riverside's history or prehistory were discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of this Initial Study. Information contained in this Initial Study supports the conclusion that the proposed project will not result in the degradation of environmental or cultural resources. Therefore, no impacts will result from this project.						
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?						
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Eff Program)						
The proposed project involves a General Plan Amendment to change the land use designation of .21 acres of the project site from MDR- Medium Density Residential to C-Commercial. Because this change in land use will involve a General Plan Amendment and the change in land use is negligible, the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 and this action will not result in any significant cumulative impact. Therefore cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previously considered in the GP 2025 FPEIR are less than significant.						
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			$\boxtimes$			
<b>18c.</b> Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Impact Analysis for the General Plan 2025 Program) Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air quality, hydrology & water quality, noise, population and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of this initial study and found to be less than significant for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusions in this initial study, the project will not cause substantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings. Therefore, potential direct and indirect impacts on human beings that result from the proposed project are less than significant.						
				ļ		

**Note:** Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).



### Staff Recommended Mitigation Measures

Impact Categor	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Air Quality	MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits.	Building & Safety Division Public Works Department	Site Plan Review and Issuance of Building Permits.
	MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects the following measures shall be required:	Prior to issuance of individual grading and/or building permit.	Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.
	<ol> <li>The generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD;</li> <li>Grading activities shall cease during periods of high winds (greater than 25 mph);</li> <li>Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City</li> </ol>	The plan for traffic control shall be submitted with the grading and/or building plans.		
	Engineer; and 4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted.